Interference Cancellation trough Interference Alignment for Downlink of Cognitive Cellular Networks

May Moussa, Fotis Foukalas and Tamer Khattab

In this letter, we propose the interference cancellation through interference alignment at the downlink of cognitive cellular networks. Interference alignment helps the spatial resources to be shared among primary and secondary cells and thus, it can provide higher degrees of freedom through interference cancellation. We derive and depict the achievable degrees of freedom. We also analyse and calculate the achievable sum rates applying water-filling optimal power allocation.

arXiv:1411.6466v1 [cs.IT] 24 Nov 2014

Introduction: Cognitive cellular networks concept will rely on the efficient interference management and one key-technology is the Interference Alignment (IA) [1] [2]. IA was initially proposed as technique for increasing the degrees of freedom (DoF) by achieving interference-free signalling dimensions in multiple-input-multiple-output (MIMO) systems [3]. IA as cognitive radio application should allow secondary users (SUs) to exploit the dimensions that are unused by the primary users (PUs) [4]. In this work, we provide the cancellation of two types of interferences in the downlink of a cognitive cellular network applying an IA technique. To our knowledge, downlink IA has been recently investigated in [6] but not in a cognitive cellular network for interference cancellation.

Both *intra-cell* interference, which is caused by transmissions intended to the other users operating in the same cell, and *inter-cell* interference, which is caused by transmissions occurring in the other cells [5] are assumed. To be specific, based on the model proposed in [7], we consider that the base station (BS) of the primary cell (pCell) has the knowledge of the channel state information (CSI) within its cell received from its own PUs, while the BS of the secondary cell (sCell) has the knowledge of the CSI of all the channels including the PUs. We provide the bounds of the considered IA technique providing the design of the signalling scheme. We depict the achievable DoF regions and explain how the interference cancellation is achieved through the proposed IA. Moreover, we provide the rate maximization for both PUs and SUs using water-filling optimal power allocation over the singular values of the produced channel matrices.

Interference Cancellation through Interference Alignment: The interference cancellation scheme in [7] is first assumed. The DoF region is denoted as $\mathcal{D}=(d_{P_1},d_{P_2},d_{S_1},d_{S_2})\in\mathbb{R}^4$, where d_{P_i} and d_{S_j} are defined as the interference-free signalling dimensions for PUs P_i and SUs S_j respectively. The maximum sum DoF d_m and the maximum sum DoF in the primary and secondary cells d_P and d_S can be found in [7]. In addition to the bounds presented in [7], both d_{S_1} and d_{S_2} are bounded by the difference between the number of transmit and receive antennas in the sCell, that is $d_{S_1}\leq M_S-N_S$ and $d_{S_2}\leq M_S-N_S$. This bound will be proved in the subsection below on interference cancellation of sCell. The number of available dimensions on each transmit and receive antenna is denoted with the quartet (M_P,M_S,N_P,N_S) and provide the achievable region of the cognitive cellular network set-up. Fig. 1 shows how the DoF of the system are affected by this quartet of values. It is also illustrated how the number of streams transmitted in each cell affects the possible number of interference-free streams that could be transmitted in the other cell. As illustrated, the bounds d_{S_1} and d_{S_2} on the horizontal axis clearly limits the DoF in the sCell.

Interference Cancellation at pCell: We consider the primary transmission. Let $\mathbf{x}^{P_1}$ and $\mathbf{x}^{P_2}$ denote the $[d_{P_1}\times 1]$ and $[d_{P_2}\times 1]$ data streams intended to users P_1 and P_2, respectively. To construct $\mathbf{x}_P$, $\mathbf{x}^{P_1}$ and $\mathbf{x}^{P_2}$ are processed through the $[M_P\times d_{P_1}]$ and $[M_P\times d_{P_2}]$ beam-forming/pre-coding matrices $\mathbf{V}_{P_1}$ and $\mathbf{V}_{P_2}$ respectively, and then added as follows:

$$\mathbf{x}_P=\sum_{l=1}^{d_{P_1}}\mathbf{v}_l^{P_1}x_l^{P_1}+\sum_{k=1}^{d_{P_2}}\mathbf{v}_k^{P_2}x_k^{P_2}, \tag{1}$$

where $\mathbf{v}_l^{P_1}$ and $\mathbf{v}_k^{P_2}$ are the l^{th} and the k^{th} columns of the matrices $\mathbf{V}_{P_1}$ and $\mathbf{V}_{P_2}$, respectively. The pre-coding matrices $\mathbf{V}_{P_1}$ and $\mathbf{V}_{P_2}$ are designed to cancel part of the intra-cell interference within the pCell corresponding to the dimension of the null space between P and the PUs. We denote this dimension by $Z=(M_P-N_P)^+$. The first Z columns of $\mathbf{V}_{P_1}$ and $\mathbf{V}_{P_2}$, i.e. $\mathbf{v}_1^{P_1},...,\mathbf{v}_Z^{P_1}$ and $\mathbf{v}_1^{P_2},...,\mathbf{v}_Z^{P_2}$, are chosen in the null space of $\mathbf{H}_{P_2}$ and $\mathbf{H}_{P_1}$, respectively. The rest of the $(d_{P_1}-Z)$ and $(d_{P_2}-Z)$ vectors of the matrices $\mathbf{V}_{P_1}$ and $\mathbf{V}_{P_2}$, respectively, are randomly selected with elements chosen according to an isotropic distribution.



Fig. 1. Achievable DoF regions for different types of CRNs.

The signal transmitted by S is designed to cancel the remaining part of the intra-cell interference within the pCell as follows:

$$\begin{aligned}\mathbf{x}_S &= \sum_{g=1}^{d_{S_1}}\mathbf{v}_g^{S_1}\hat{x}_g^{S_1}+\sum_{h=1}^{d_{S_2}}\mathbf{v}_h^{S_2}\hat{x}_h^{S_2}+\\ &\sum_{l=Z+1}^{d_{P_1}}\bar{\mathbf{v}}_l^{P_1}x_l^{P_1}+\sum_{k=Z+1}^{d_{P_2}}\bar{\mathbf{v}}_k^{P_2}x_k^{P_2},\end{aligned} \tag{2}$$

where $\hat{\mathbf{x}}^{S_1}$ and $\hat{\mathbf{x}}^{S_2}$ are the DPC-encoded data streams intended for users S_1 and S_2, respectively. $\mathbf{v}_h^{S_1}$ and $\mathbf{v}_g^{S_2}$ are the h^{th} and g^{th} columns of the $[M_S\times d_{S_1}]$ and $[M_S\times d_{S_2}]$ pre-coding matrices $\mathbf{V}_{S_1}$ and $\mathbf{V}_{S_2}$, respectively.

The signal received at P_1 will be given by:

$$\begin{aligned}\mathbf{y}_{P_1} &= \sum_{l=1}^{Z}\mathbf{H}_{P_1}\mathbf{v}_l^{P_1}x_l^{P_1}+\sum_{l=Z+1}^{d_{P_1}}\Big(\mathbf{H}_{P_1}-\mathbf{H}'_{P_1}{\mathbf{H}'_{P_2}}^{T}\\ &\left(\mathbf{H}'_{P_2}(\mathbf{H}'_{P_2})^T\right)^{-1}\mathbf{H}_{P_2}\Big)\mathbf{v}_l^{P_1}x_l^{P_1}+\\ &\mathbf{H}'_{P_1}\left(\sum_{g=1}^{d_{S_1}}\mathbf{v}_g^{S_1}\hat{x}_g^{S_1}+\sum_{h=1}^{d_{S_2}}\mathbf{v}_h^{S_2}\hat{x}_h^{S_2}\right)+\mathbf{z}_{P_1}.\end{aligned} \tag{3}$$

and the signal received at P_2 can be similarly defined. As shown in (2), the intra-cell interference in the pCell is totally cancelled using the pre-coding matrices.

To recover their data, P_1 and P_2 pass their received signals through the $[N_P\times d_{P_1}]$ and $[N_P\times d_{P_2}]$ post-processing matrices, U_{P_1} and U_{P_2}. These post-processing matrices are formed as follows: For the user P_1 to decode $x_l^{P_1}$, it picks a zero-forcing vector, $\mathbf{u}_l^{P_1}$, orthonormal to the space not containing $\mathbf{v}_l^{P_1}$ for $1\leqslant l\leqslant d_{P_1}$. The user P_2 picks the columns of U_{P_2} similarly.

Interference Cancellation at sCell side: Here, we describe the secondary transmission scheme. The signal received at S_1, is given by:

$$\begin{aligned}\mathbf{y}_{S_1} &= \mathbf{H}_{S_1}\left[\sum_{g=1}^{d_{S_1}}\mathbf{v}_g^{S_1}\hat{x}_g^{S_1}+\sum_{h=1}^{d_{S_2}}\mathbf{v}_h^{S_2}\hat{x}_h^{S_2}\right.\\ &+\left.\sum_{l=Z+1}^{d_{P_1}}\bar{\mathbf{v}}_l^{P_1}x_l^{P_1}+\sum_{k=Z+1}^{d_{P_2}}\bar{\mathbf{v}}_k^{P_2}x_k^{P_2}\right]\\ &+\mathbf{H}'_{S_1}\left[\sum_{l=1}^{d_{P_1}}\mathbf{v}_l^{P_1}x_l^{P_1}+\sum_{k=1}^{d_{P_2}}\mathbf{v}_k^{P_2}x_k^{P_2}\right]+\mathbf{z}_{S_1}.\end{aligned} \tag{4}$$

The signal received at S_2 can be similarly defined. The secondary's precoding vectors, $\mathbf{V}_{S_1}$ and $\mathbf{V}_{S_2}$ are designed to zero-force the intra-cell interference within the sCell. Without loss of generality, choose the first d_{S_1} rows of $\mathbf{H}_{S_1}$, $[(\mathbf{h}_{S_1}^1)^T;...;(\mathbf{h}_{S_1}^{d_{S_1}})^T]$ and the first d_{S_2} rows of $\mathbf{H}_{S_2}$, $[(\mathbf{h}_{S_2}^1)^T;...;(\mathbf{h}_{S_2}^{d_{S_2}})^T]$ to construct the following spaces:

$$\mathcal{S}1 = [(\mathbf{h}_{S_1}^1)^T;...;(\mathbf{h}_{S_1}^{d_{S_1}})^T;\mathbf{H}_{S_2}] \quad (5)$$

$$\mathcal{S}2 = [(\mathbf{h}_{S_2}^1)^T;...;(\mathbf{h}_{S_2}^{d_{S_2}})^T;\mathbf{H}_{S_1}]. \quad (6)$$

The columns of $\mathbf{V}_{S_1}$ and $\mathbf{V}_{S_2}$ are selected as follows: for $1 \leqslant g \leqslant d_{S_1}$, the $[M_S \times 1]$ vector $\mathbf{v}_g^{S_1}$ will be picked in the null space of $\mathcal{S}1$ excluding the g^{th} row. Similarly, for $1 \leqslant h \leqslant d_{S_2}$, the $[M_S \times 1]$ vector $\mathbf{v}_h^{S_2}$ will be picked in the null space of $\mathcal{S}2$ excluding the h^{th} row. Hence, the bound $d_{S_1} \leq M_S - N_S$ results [7].

Achievable Sum Rates: In this section, we analyse the achievable rate in the pCell and sCell.

pCell rate Let $\mathbf{Q}^{P_i}$ denote the source power covariance matrix of the symbols in $\mathbf{x}^{P_i}$ that is transmitted by P and intended for user P_i. We are interested in finding the source covariance matrix $\mathbf{Q}^{P_i}$ that maximizes the achievable sum rate in the pCell, R_P, with an average power Q_{av}. This problem can be expressed as follows [8]:

$$\begin{aligned} \max_{\mathbf{Q}^{P_i}} \quad & \frac{1}{2}\sum_{i=1}^{2} log_2\left(\mathbf{I}_{d_{P_i}} + \frac{1}{\sigma_{P_i}^2}\mathbf{U}_{P_i}^T[\mathbf{HV}]_{P_i}^*\mathbf{Q}^{P_i}[\mathbf{HV}]_{P_i}^{*T}\mathbf{U}_{P_i}\right) \\ s.t. \quad & \mathbf{Q}^{P_i} \geq 0,\ for\, i \in \{1,2\} \\ & \frac{1}{2}\sum_{i=1}^{2} tr\left\{\mathbf{V}_{P_i}\mathbf{Q}^{P_i}\mathbf{V}_{P_i}^T\right\} \leq Q_{av}^P, \end{aligned} \quad (7)$$

where

$$\begin{aligned} [\mathbf{HV}]_{P_i}^* = & \sum_{l=1}^{Z}\mathbf{H}_{P_i}\mathbf{v}_l^{P_i}x_l^{P_i} + \sum_{l=Z+1}^{d_{P_i}}\Big(\mathbf{H}_{P_i} - \mathbf{H}'_{P_i}{\mathbf{H}'_{P_j}}^T \\ & \left(\mathbf{H}'_{P_j}(\mathbf{H}'_{P_j})^T\right)^{-1}\mathbf{H}_{P_j}\Big)\mathbf{v}_l^{P_i}x_l^{P_i} \\ & for\, i \in \{1,2\}, j \in \{1,2\}, j \neq i. \end{aligned} \quad (8)$$

Equation (7) is solved using water-filling algorithm that works as follows:

- Find the the singular value decomposition (SVD) $\mathbf{\Phi}_{P_i}\mathbf{\Gamma}_{P_i}\mathbf{\Psi}_{P_i}^T$ of the matrix $\mathbf{U}_{P_i}^T[\mathbf{H}_{P_i}\mathbf{V}]^*{}_{P_i}$ for P_i with $\forall i \in \{1,2\}$. The term $\mathbf{\Gamma}_{P_i}$ is a $[d_{P_i} \times d_{P_i}]$ diagonal matrix that contains the singular values $\{\gamma_l\}_{l=1}^{d_{P_i}}$ representing the received signal-to-noise ratio (SNR) for each user.
- Using the water-filling concept calculate the $[d_{P_i} \times d_{P_i}]$ matrices $\hat{\mathbf{Q}}^{P_i}$ as follows:

$$\hat{\mathbf{Q}}_l^{P_i} = \left(\lambda - \frac{\sigma_{P_i}^2}{{\gamma_l^{P_i}}^2}\right)^+,\ for\, i \in \{1,2\}, l \in \{1,..,d_{P_1}\}, \quad (9)$$

  where λ is the common Lagrange multiplier chosen to satisfy the constraints in (7).
- Find the optimal transmit power, $\mathbf{Q}^{P_i}$, based on the result of the water-filling methodology and the received SNR using the right singular vector, $\mathbf{\Psi}_{P_i}$ as follows:

$$\mathbf{Q}^{P_i} = \mathbf{\Psi}_{P_i}\hat{\mathbf{Q}}^{P_i}\mathbf{\Psi}_{P_i}^T. \quad (10)$$

sCell rate: Similar to the analysis provided for the pCell, the source covariance matrix $\mathbf{Q}^{S_j}$ that maximizes the achievable sum rate in the sCell, R_S, can be found by solving:

$$\begin{aligned} \max_{\mathbf{Q}^{S_j}} \quad & \frac{1}{2}\sum_{j=1}^{2} log_2\left(\mathbf{I}_{d_{S_j}} + \frac{1}{\sigma_{S_j}^2}\mathbf{U}_{S_j}^T\mathbf{H}_{S_j}\mathbf{V}_{S_j}\mathbf{Q}^{S_j}\mathbf{V}_{S_j}^T\mathbf{H}_{S_j}^T\mathbf{U}_{S_j}\right) \\ s.t. \quad & \mathbf{Q}^{S_j} \geq 0,\ for\, j \in \{1,2\} \\ & \frac{1}{2}\sum_{j=1}^{2} tr\left\{\mathbf{V}_{S_j}\mathbf{Q}^{S_j}\mathbf{V}_{S_j}^T\right\} \leq Q_{av}^S. \end{aligned} \quad (11)$$



Fig. 2. Primary sum Rate versus secondary sum Rate of a (5,5,5,3) CRN.

This is solved again using the methodology based on the water-filling algorithm that we described above.

Fig. 2 depicts the primary sum rate vs. the secondary sum rate of a (5,5,5,3) CRN for different values of average transmit power Q_{av}. The figure shows how the achievable sum rates in both cells change with the different number of streams transmitted in each cell with at least one stream transmitted in each cell. Obviously, there is a symmetry on the achievable rates with almost identical number of streams (dot dashed line), and a higher capacity for the pCell (solid line) or sCell (dashed line) respectively when the number of streams are getting higher to their own cells.

Conclusion: We have investigated an interference alignment technique for the downlink of cognitive cellular network that allows complete interference cancellation and efficient utilization of the available DoF. We present details about the signalling and the achievable DoF regions and next derive the analysis for the rate maximization within each cell. The results of the achievable degrees of freedom and sum rate within each cell highlight the performance of the proposed scheme.

Acknowledgment: This work has been supported by QNRF project with code number: NPRP 09-1168-2-455

M. Moussa, F. Foukalas and T. Khattab (*Electrical Engineering, Qatar University, Doha, Qatar*)

E-mail: foukalas@qu.edu.qa

References

1 Y. Liu, Cai, L.X., X. ShenH. Luo, Deploying cognitive cellular networks under dynamic resource management, *IEEE Wirel. Commun.*, vol. 20, no. 2, pp. 82-88, Apr. 2013.
2 S. Gollakota, S. David Perli and Dina Katabi, Interference Alignment and Cancellation, *ACM SIGCOMM Computer Communication Review*, vol. 39, no. 4, pp. 159-170, Oct. 2009.
3 V. R. Cadambe and S. A. Jafar, Interference alignment and degrees of freedom of the K-user interference channel, IEEE Trans. Inf. Theory, vol. 54, no. 8, pp. 3425âĂŞ3441, Aug. 2008.
4 S. M. Perlaza, N. Fawaz, S. Lasaulce and Merouane Debbah, From Spectrum Pooling to Space Pooling: Opportunistic Interference Alignment in MIMO Cognitive Networks, *IEEE Trans. on Sig. Proc.*, vol. 58, no. 7, Jul. 2010.
5 J. Andrews, Interference cancellation for cellular systems: A contemporary overview, *IEEE Wireless Communications*, vol. 12, no.2, pp. 19-29, Apr. 2005.
6 C. Suh, M. Ho, D. Tse, Downlink Interference Alignment, IEEE Trans. on Communi., vol. 59, no. 9, pp. 2616-2626, Sep. 2011.
7 M. Shahmohammad, O. O. Koyluoglu, T. Khattab and H. El Gamal, Joint Interference Cancellation and Dirty Paper Coding for Cognitive Cellular Networks, IEEE Wireless Communications and Networking Conference (WCNC 2011), pp. 1972-1976, Mar. 2011.
8 M. Amir, A. El-Keyi, M. Nafie, Constrained Interference Alignment and the Spatial Degrees of Freedom of MIMO Cognitive Networks, IEEE Trans. on Inf. Theory, vol. 57, no. 5, May 2011.